Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology

Research Article

Studies of *in vitro* anti-prostate cancer potential of newer 1,2,4-triazolo-1,3,4-thiadiazines with different heteroaromatics

Journal homepage: www.banglajol.info
Journal homepage: www.banglajol.info
Abstracted/indexed in Academic Search Complete, Agroforestry Abstracts, Asia Journals Online, Bangladesh Journals Online, Biological Abstracts,
BIOSIS Previews, CAB Abstracts, Current Abstracts, Directory of Open Access Journals, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, Global Health, Google Scholar,
HINARI (WHO), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, Open J-gate, Science Citation Index Expanded, SCOPUS and Social Sciences Citation Index

Studies of in vitro anti-prostate cancer potential of newer 1,2,4triazolo-1.3.4-thiadiazines with different heteroaromatics

Mao-Chuan Fan¹, Guang-Ye Han¹, Xin-Jun Zhang¹ and Hui-Fang Xi²

Department of Urology. The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Henan 453 100, China: ²Department of Pediatric Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Henan 453 100, China.

Article Info

Received: 28 February 2015 18 March 2015 Accepted: 9 April 2015 Available Online:

DOI: 10.3329/bjp.v10i2.22424

Cite this article: Fan MC, Han GY, Zhang XJ, Xi HF. Studies of in vitro anti-prostate cancer potential of newer 1,2,4-triazolo-1,3,4thiadiazines with different heteroaromatics. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2015; 10: 308-15.

Abstract

This study was aimed to evaluate anti-cancer potential of newer synthesize 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazines and its derivatives. All newly furnished scaffolds were subjected to screening for their in vitro anti-cancer potential against DU-145 and PC-3 prostate cancer cell lines using SRB and MMT bioassays. The structures of final compounds were confirmed with the aid of FT-IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and CHN analysis. Bioassay studies suggested that all thiadiazines were promising cytotoxic agents with % cytotoxicity ranging from 44.39-71.24%, whereas potent GI₅₀ level in the range 11.96-32.51 µg/mL and results were comparable to the potencies of control drugs adriamycin and doxorubicin. Variation of heterocyclic pharmacophores along with the C-5 position of 1,2,4-triazole in terms of quinoline, quinazoline, coumarin and pyridine lead to the different SAR predictions in which quinoline and benzimidazole moieties found most promising.

Introduction

Cancer therapeutics works upon inducing apoptosis and newer strategies to enhance apoptosis in cancerous cells upon targeting apoptosis regulators can be the vital factor in the further growth of anti-cancer regimen. Also, such newly invented scaffolds may combat drug resistant phenomenon towards the formally used chemotherapeutics (Earnshaw et al, 1999). In fact, nowa-days, in clinics there is no selective cytotoxic agents available and current arsenal like hormone-therapy, radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy are successful in destroying androgen-dependent, localized prostate cancer growth, whereas, therapy of hormone-refractory prostate cancer remains restricted by unavoidable development of resistance to the first-line treatment with docetaxel drug. Consequently, novel medication is needed to create on immediate foundation to battle against extensive of this dangerous illness (Feldman and Feldman, 2001).

Heterocyclic compounds have long been known to

exert desirable potency against several different diseases in terms of effective medications. Quinoline (Solomon and Lee, 2011), coumarin (Kostova, 2005) and benz-imidazole (EL Rashedy, 2013) moieties as heterocyclic pharmacophore play a vital role in cancer drug discovery process. Moreover, the 1,2,4-triazole entity and their derivatives emerge rapidly with advance of modern heterocyclic chemistry, promising a variety of medical applications (Clemons et al, 2004; Wakale et al 2013). Furthermore, 1,3,4-thiadiazine ring system also contributed to the significant biological potential, in particular anti-cancer efficacies (Abdel-Rahman, 2006). Hence, in this study we have attempted the construction of new 1,3,4-triazolo-1,3,4-thiadiazines and screened their potential against prostate cancer cell lines.

Materials and Methods

TLC was performed with Merck precoated TLC plates, and the compounds were made visible using a



fluorescent inspection lamp and iodine vapor. Gravity chromatography was done with Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh). The melting points were recorded in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. FT-IR spectra were recorded on FTIR Shimadzu (potassium bromide pellets) spectrometer (4000-400 cm⁻¹). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were determined as DMSO solution at 400 and 100 MHz using TMS as an internal standard. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer EAL 240 elemental analyzer. All new compounds yielded spectral data consistent with the proposed structure and microanalysis within ± 0.4% of the theoretical values.

All hydrazide derivatives were synthesized according to the procedure reported in the literature for 2-(1*H*-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl) acetohydrazide (**1a**) (Ansari et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2012), 2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carbohydrazide (**1b**) (Bhat et al., 2008., Patel et al., 2013), quinoline-6-carbohydrazide (**1c**) (Saitoh et al 2009; Patel et al., 2012), 2-(6-bromoquinolin-4-yloxy) aceto hydrazide (**1d**), 2-(1-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yloxy)acetohydrazide (**1e**), 2-(quinazolin-4-yloxy) acetohydrazide (**1f**) (Patel et al., 2012) and isoniazid or isonicotinohydrazide (**1g**) obtained commercially. Moreover, the formation of 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chromen-2-one via Knoevenagel condensation was also performed. All analytical data were in accordance with the literature data (Naik et al., 2013).

Synthetic procedure for synthesis of potassium aryldithio-carbazates (2a-2g)

0.2~Mol of derivatives 1a-1j was treated with a solution of 0.30~mol of KOH in 50 mL methanol at $0\text{-}5^\circ\text{C}$ under N_2 atmosphere with stirring. To this mixture, 0.30~mol of CS_2 was added slowly and reaction mixture was allowed to stirred at RT overnight. The precipitated potassium aryldithiocarbazate was collected by filtration and washed with anhydrous ether and dried under vacuo. Potassium aryldithiocarbazate thus obtained was directly used in the next chemical procedure.

Synthetic procedure for synthesis of 4-amino-5-(substituted heteroaryl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiols (3a-3g)

To a mixture of 0.1 mol of above potassium aryldithiocarbazates (2a-2g) in 10 mL of water, 0.3 mol of 99% hydrazine hydrate was added and reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 8-11 hours until complete evolution of H_2S gas and the color of mixture change to green and finally it became homogeneous. The reaction mixture was then cooled to RT and diluted with 100 mL of water and acidified with conc. HCl. The mixture was then extracted in EtOAC, washed with water, brine solution and dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 . Pure 3a-3g were obtained using column chromatography with 5-6% EtOAc-petrolium ether as eluent as yellow solids.

Synthetic procedure for synthesis of title compounds 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazines (1-10)

A mixture of 4-amino-5-(substituted heteroaryl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiols (**3a-3g**, 1 mmol) and 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chro-men-2-one (1.1 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was refluxed for 6-13 hours. Upon completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC until no staring material left, the reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue thus obtained was diluted with water, extracted in EtOAc (75 mL). The combined extract was washed with 10% NaHCO₃ solution, water followed by brine solution and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography using 0.75-1.0% methanol in dichloromethane to afford the title compounds **1-10** (Puthiyapurayil et al., 2012).

The Scheme 1 outlines chemical reaction sequences and conditions required to furnish final compounds **1-10**.

3-(3-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-7H-[1,2,4] triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazin-6-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (1)

Yield 71%, m.p. 256-258°C, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3049 (Ar-H), 2988, 2919, 2845 (C-H), 1729 (lactone C=O), 1640 (C=N), 1389 (N=C-S), 1327 (C-N), 1317 (N-N=C), 679 (C-S-C bend-ing); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.49 (s, 1H, -N=CH, benzimidazole), 8.21 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 7.83-7.67 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.43 (m, 2H, coumarin), 4.21 (s, 2H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine), 3.71 (s, 2H, -N-CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.1 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 165.2, 162.6 and 160.1 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolothiadiazine), 153.2 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 152.7 (1C, C-2, benzimidazole), 145.2-116.8 (13C, Ar-C), 43.8 (1C, N-CH₂), 41.2 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd for C₂₁H₁₄N₆O₂S: C, 60.86; H, 3.40; N, 20.28; Found: C, 60.71; H, 3.58; N, 20.16.

3,3'-(7H-[1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazine-3,6-diyl)bis(2H-chromen-2-one) (2)

Yield 68%, m.p. 245-257°C, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3058 (Ar-H), 2988, 2937, 2840 (C-H), 1734 (lactone C=O), 1633 (C=N), 1380 (N=C-S), 1331 (C-N), 1324 (N-N=C), 681 (C-S-C bending); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.34 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 8.37 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 7.29-7.46 (m, 4H, coumarin), 7.04-7.15 (m, 4H, coumarin), 4.27 (s, 2H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 169.1 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 167.8 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 166.1, 163.3 and 159.8 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolothiadiazine), 153.4 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 152.8 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 145.2-125.6 (14C, Ar-C), 41.9 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadia-zine). Anal Calcd for C₂₂H₁₂N₄O₄S: C, 61.68; H, 2.82; N, 13.08; Found: C, 61.81; H, 2.97; N, 13.21.

3-(3-(quinolin-6-yl)-7H-[1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazin-6-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (3)

Yield 64%, m.p. 288-290°C, IR (KBr, cm-1): 3042 (Ar-H),

2971, 2919, 2871 (C-H), 1724 (lactone C=O), 1648 (C=N), 1382 (N=C-S), 1324 (C-N), 1316 (N-N=C), 666 (C-S-C bending); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 9.28 (dd, J =2.1, 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-2, quinoline), 8.45-8.52 (m, 2H, H-3 and H-4, quinoline), 8.28 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 8.09 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, H-5 and H-8, quinoline), 7.61 (dd, J = 4.8, 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-7, quinoline), 7.34-7.41 (m, 2H, coumarin), 7.08-7.17 (m, 2H, coumarin), 4.19 (s, 2H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 169.2 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 165.7, 161.9 and 160.3 (3C, C -3, C-6 and C-9, triazolothiadiazine), 154.7 (1C, C-9, couma-rin), 152.8, 151.1 (2C, C₂ and C₉, quinoline), 143.9 (14C, Ar-C), 43.7 (1C, C-7, CH₂, -121.6 triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd for C22H13N5O2S: C, 64.22; H, 3.18; N, 17.02; Found: C, 64.09; H, 3.37; N,

3-(3-((6-bromoquinolin-4-yloxy)methyl)-7H-[1,2,4] triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazin-6-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (4)

Yield 59%, m.p. 277-280°C, IR (KBr, cm-1): 3045 (Ar-H), 2966, 2946, 2850 (C-H), 1730 (lactone C=O), 1644 (C=N), 1376 (N=C-S), 1325 (C-N), 1321 (N-N=C), 674 (C-S-C bending); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.76 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, H-5, quinoline), 8.67 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, N=CH, H-2, quinoline), 8.31 (s, 1H, H-3, quinoline), 8.25 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 8.21 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-8, quinoline), 8.15 (s, 1H, H-5, quinoline), 8.09 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-7, quinoline), 7.37-7.45 (m, 2H, coumarin), 7.05-7.11 (m, 2H, coumarin), 5.12 (2H, s, O-CH₂), 4.26 (s, 1H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 167.6 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 166.3, 163.1 and 161.6 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolo-thiadiazine), 152.8 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 152.2, 151.9 (2C, C₂ and C₉, quinoline), 151.3 (1C, O-CH₂), 144.9-118.6 (14C, Ar-C), 39.9 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd for C₂₃H₁₄BrN₅O₃S: C, 53.09; H, 2.71; N, 13.46; Found: C, 52.96; H, 2.89; N, 13.63.

1-methyl-4-((6-(2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)-7H-[1,2,4] triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazin-3-yl)methoxy)quinolin-2(1H)-one (5)

Yield 73%, m.p. 293-296°C, IR (KBr, cm-1): 3055 (Ar-H), 2969, 2933, 2864 (C-H), 1728 (lactone C=O), 1638 (C=N), 1380 (N=C-S), 1329 (C-N), 1318 (N-N=C), 684 (C-S-C bending); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.21 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 8.07 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, C-8 proton of quinoline), 7.72 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-7 proton of quinoline), 7.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, C-5 proton of quinoline), 7.47 (t, I = 6.6 Hz, 1H, C-6 proton of quinoline), 7.40 (s, 1H, C-3 proton of quinoline), 7.30-7.37 (m, 2H, coumarin), 7.04-7.09 (m, 2H, coumarin), 5.23 (2H, s, O-CH₂), 4.22 (s, 2H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine), 3.79 (s, 3H, N-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.5 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 166.8 (1C, C=O, quinoline), 164.8, 161.9 and 159.5 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolo-thiadiazine), 155.0 (1C, C -9, coumarin), 151.9 (1C, O-CH₂), 146.8-122.2 (16C, Ar-C), 42.6 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd

for $C_{24}H_{17}N_5O_4S$: C, 61.14; H, 3.63; N, 14.85; Found: C, 61.31; H, 3.51; N, 15.02.

3-(3-((quinazolin-4-yloxy)methyl)-7H-[1,2,4]triazolo [3,4-b][1,3,4]thiadiazin-6-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (6)

Yield 66%, m.p. 259-261°C, 3039 (Ar-H), 2990, 2944, 2849 (C-H), 1722 (lactone C=O), 1640 (C=N), 1377 (N=C-S), 1334 (C-N), 1326 (N-N=C), 670 (C-S-C bending); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 8.32 (s, 1H, H-4, coumarin), 8.22 (s, 1H, N-CH-N, quinazoline), 8.09 (dd, J=10.2, 8.3 Hz, 2H, quinazoline ring), 7.33-7.41 (m, 2H, coumarin), 7.36-7.23 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.01-7.07 (m, 2H, coumarin), 5.17 (2H, s, O-CH₂), 4.19 (s, 1H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 167.1 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 165.7, 162.1 and 160.8 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolothiadiazine), 158.2, 157.7, 156.6 (3C, quinazo-line), 153.8 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 144.6-124.7 (13C, Ar-C), 41.9 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd for C₂₂H₁₄N₆O₃S: C, 59.72; H, 3.19; N, 18.99; Found: C, 59.87; H, 3.33; N, 19.13.

3-(3-(pyridin-4-yl)-7H-[1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4] thiadiazin-6-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (7)

Yield 70%, m.p. 252-254°C, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3055 (Ar-H), 2977, 2917, 2848 (C-H), 1733 (lactone C=O), 1649 (C=N), 1391 (N=C-S), 1330 (C-N), 1320 (N-N=C), 681 (C-S-C bending);

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO–d₆): δ 8.87 (2H each, 2d, J = 5.8 Hz, 5.9 Hz, pyridine), 8.27 (s, 1H, H–4, coumarin), 7.38-7.44 (m, 2H, coumarin), 7.08-7.15 (m, 2H, coumarin), 4.21 (s, 2H, S-CH₂, thiadiazine); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO–d₆): δ 169.0 (1C, C=O, coumarin), 164.9, 161.8 and 161.0 (3C, C-3, C-6 and C-9, triazolothiadiazine), 154.5 (1C, C-9, coumarin), 150.3 (2C, pyridine), 143.7-123.9 (10C, Ar-C), 40.4 (1C, C-7, CH₂, triazolothiadiazine). Anal Calcd for C₁₈H₁₁N₅O₂S: C, 59.82; H, 3.07; N, 19.38; Found: C, 59.69; H, 3.22; N, 19.25.

In vitro evaluation of anti-cancer activity

Sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay

Cancerous cell lines were grown using 2 mM Lglutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum under RPMI 1640 medium and incubation was carried out using 96well microtiter plates having 90 µL at plating densities with regard to the doubling time of individual cell lines. At first, incubation of microtiter plates was performed at 95% air, 5% CO₂, 100% relative humidity and 37 \pm 1° C temperature for 24 hours before introducing the compounds and after inoculation. After incubation, for each line of cells, cell population was recorded on the time title compounds' appropriate concentrations (prepared using DMSO at 400-fold) were supplied (Tz) fixing one plate of each cell line with TCA. To the appropriate well 100 mL of each screen compound was inserted which already hold 100 mL of medium containing the cells which is to be incubated at 37°C for 48 hours (Skehn et al., 1990). Cells were fixed in situ by

the soothing inclusion of 50 mL of cold 10% w/v TCA and incubated for 60 min at 4°C and then plated were cleaned properly and air dried. Then, 50 µL of 0.4% w/ v SBR solution in 1% CH3COOH was added to each well and incubated for 20 min at 25°C and after incubation, plates were washed gently and air dried. Trizma base (10 mM) was used to dissolve the bound stain and the absorbance was read on an Elisa plate reader (690 nm reference wavelength) at a wavelength of 515 nm. %Growth was observed with reference to the control well for each plate and determined as the ratio of average absorbance of the test well to the average absorbance of the control wells X 100. Using the six absorbance measurements [time zero (Tz), control growth (C), and analyze development in the existence of drug at the four concentration levels (Ti), the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentration levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as: [(Ti-Tz)/(C-Tz)] x 100 for concentrations for which $Ti \ge Tz$ and $[(Ti-Tz)/Tz] \times 100$ for concentrations for which Ti<Tz. For the each test compound, the dose parameters were calculated in which GI₅₀ level was calculated using the equation [(Ti-Tz)/(C-Tz)] x 100 = 50 which reveals the drug concentration resulting in a 50% reduction in the net protein increase (as measured by SRB staining) in control cells while the drug incubation. The TGI level which expressed the tested drug concentration resulting in total growth inhibition was determined from Ti=Tz. LC₅₀ values expressed concentration of drug resulting in a 50% reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning and indicating a net loss of cells was calculated from $[(Ti-Tz)/Tz] \times 100=-50$.

Cytotoxicity evaluation

MTT assay

MTT assay was conducted according to the process given in the literary works (Bopp and Lettieri, 2008) using control adriamycin. 96-Well plate was used to seed the cells followed by incubation for 24 hours at 37° C. The specific levels of examined substances (100 mg) were added in the wells of the plate. Controls were maintained to figure out the control cell survival (CS) and the percentage of live cells after culture. After incubation, the medium eliminated and 20 µL of MTT reagent was included in each dish followed by incubation for 4 hours at 37°C. The wells for formazan crystal formation development were noticed under microscopic lense. The yellowish MTT is reduced to dark colored formazan by viable cells only. After the removal of medium, 200 µL of DMSO was added and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. The color developed was quantified with an ELISA plate reader (570 nm). The CS is expressed as percentage $(T/C \times 100)$, where T = test, C = average of control readings. %Cytotoxicity was calculated by: %Cytotoxicity = 100 - %Viability.

Results and Discussion

Many wider factors of heterocyclic chemistry are identified as disciplines of general significance that impinge on almost all factors of modern organic and medicinal chemistry (Thiel, 2013). Moreover, 1,2,4triazole nucleus has been previously emerged as promising lead to develop anti-cancer scaffolds (Kaczor et al., 2013; Zhao, 2012; Kumar et al., 2011). In this study, newer 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazines bearing different heteroaryls in their core structure were yielded via efficient chemical synthesis strategies. Correct synthesis of all intermediate compounds was confirmed from the literature report and all data were in accurate accordance with the proposed structures. In case of final compounds, the FT -IR data demonstrated the corresponding peak for C=N at 1633-148 cm⁻¹, N=C-S peak at 1376-1391 cm⁻¹, C-N peak in the range 1324-1334 cm⁻¹, N-N=C band nearby 1316-1326 cm-1 as well as C-S-C bending was observed at 666-684 cm⁻¹ which confirmed the correct formation of 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazine ring system. In addition, ¹H NMR spectra of the final compounds were in accordance with the proposed structure as proton atoms of the coumarin nucleus resonated at around 8.21 -8.37 ppm for H-4 atoms as well as other proton atoms as multiplets in the ranges around 7.29-7.46 and 7.01-7.17 ppm, while S-CH₂ proton atoms from thiadiazine ring resonated around 4.19-4.27 ppm. Moreover, ¹³C NMR spectra gave accurate proof of correct formation of titled compounds as lactone carbon as C=O resonated at around 169 ppm in addition to the characteristic carbon atoms peaks in the region 160 ppm to 165 ppm for triazolothiadiazine as well as nearby 40 ppm. All of the novel compounds gave C, H and N analyses within 0.04% points from the theoretical values. The structures of the new compounds were fully supported through their spectroscopic data (FT-IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and CHN analysis).

Investigation of in vitro anti-cancer potential of titled compounds 1-10 was carried out against two prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 and DU-145 and bioassay results are summarized in Table I and results are expressed in terms of %cytotoxicity and GI₅₀ values. From the results furnished, it can be stated that all final compounds are noticeable anti-cancer agents against targeted cell lines with MICs ranged from 11.96-32.51 µg/mL and 16.54-27.66 μg/mL against DU-145 and PC-3 cell lines, respectively. Overall, it was observed that varying pharmacophore at C-5 position of 1,2,4-triazole nucleus significantly affects the anti-cancer potential of resultant analogues. For instance, final analogues with quinoline ring system proved to be most potent against both cancerous cell lines in terms of %cytotoxicity (44.39-71.24%) followed by benzimidazole, quinazoline, coumarin and lastly pyridine ring system when compared to control drug Adriamycin with 80.55% of

Table I				
Anti-prostate cancer cell lines (PC3 and DU 145) activity of final compounds 1-7				
	$\bigcup_{O} \bigcup_{O} \bigvee_{R}^{S} \bigvee_{N} \bigvee_{R}^{N}$			
Entry	-R	MICs for PC3 cell line/DU-145 cell line		
		MTT assay	SRB assay	SRB assay
		%Cytotoxicity	$GI_{50}\left(\mu M\right)$	GI_{50} (µg/mL)
1	N	63.7	39.9/30.9	16.5/12.8
2		54.2	64.3/75.9	27.6/32.5
3		61.3	44.9/51.2	18.5/20.1
4	Br	71.2	34.8/23.0	18.1/12.0
5	O-N-O	66.6	43.3/35.8	20.4/16.9
6	O N	60.9	54.3/48.7	24.0/21.6
7	N	44.4	76.5/69.3	27.7/25.1
Adriamycin	-	80.6		
Doxorubicin	-	-	0.4	0.4

Each value is the mean of three independent experiments; LC_{50} = Concentration of drug causing 50% cell kill; TGI= Concentration of drug causing total inhibition of cell growth; ADR = Adriamycin, positive control compound

cytotoxicity level. It was inspected that final compounds were more active against DU-145 cell lines than PC-3 cell line except a compound bearing coumarin entity. In case of exerting anti-cancer potential in terms of GI_{50} level, almost similar trend was observed as %cytotoxicity, as 6-bromoquinoline ring containing final analogue was most potent followed by benzimidazole, other quinolines, quinazoline and pyridine rings system against DU-145 cell line. However, final analogue with benzimidazole nucleus was more potent than 6-bromoquinoline holding compound against PC-3 cell line. However, Pokhodylo et al , 2013 suggested that the combination of both 1,2,3-triazole and quinoline rings in one molecule resulted in interesting antitumor activity.

From the bioassay it can be said that the overall potency of compound 4 with quinoline ring bearing electron withdrawing bromine atom was highest against both cell lines in terms of %cytotoxicty at 71.2% and GI_{50} level of 18.1 μ g/mL and 12.0 μ g/mL against PC-3 and

DU-145 cell lines, respectively when compared to % cytotoxicty of control drug adriamycin at 80.6 and GI₅₀ level of control drug doxorubicin at 0.4 µg/mL against both cell lines. Moreover, title compound 1 with benzimidazole nucleus showed 16.5 µg/mL and 12.8 μg/mL of GI50 level against PC-3 and DU-145 cell line, respectively, while its %cytotoxicty was recorded at 63.7%. These two derivatives were considered as most potent analogues among all tested. In addition, it can be said that insertion of quinoline ring system was the most beneficial part of the work carried out as compounds 3 and 5 exhibited 18.5 and 20.1 as well as 20.4 μ g/mL and 16.9 μ g/mL of GI₅₀ levels against PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines, respectively. Overall all compounds were more active against DU-145 cell line with one exception as compound 2 with two coumarin rings systems furnished 27.6 and 32.5 $\mu g/mL$ of GI₅₀ level against PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines. From this particular result, it can be stated that further development of coumarin based 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazines may results into increased potencies of compounds against PC-3 cell line. In general, all compounds revealed noticeable action against PC-3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cell lines in both MTT and SRB bioassay as compared to the potencies of control drugs adriamycin and doxorubicin.

To sum up, quinoline ring with bromine atom was most vital part of the scaffold rationalization followed by benzimidazole, other unsubstituted quinolines, quinazoline and pyridine nucleus. All compounds except one with coumarin moiety demonstrated more inhibitory potential against DU-145 cell line than PC-3 cancerous cells and results are comparable with control drugs adrimycin and doxorubicin.

References

- Abdel-Rahman TM. Synthesis, reactions, and anti-cancer activi-ty of some 1,3,4-thiadiazole/thiadiazine derivatives of carbazole. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Related Elements. 2006; 181: 1737-54.
- Ansari KF, Lal C. Synthesis and evaluation of some new benzimidazole derivatives as potential antimicrobial agents. Eur J Med Chem. 2009; 44: 2294-99.
- Bhat MA, Siddiqui N, Khan SA. Synthesis of novel 3-(4- acetyl-5h/methyl-5-substituted phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1,3,4-oxadiazol -2-yl)-2h-chromen-2-ones as potential anticonvulsant agents. Acta Pol Pharm. 2008; 65: 235–39.
- Bopp SK, Lettieri T. Comparison of four different colorimetric and fluorometric cytotoxicity assays in a zebrafish liver cell line. BMC Pharmacol. 2008; 8: 8.
- Clemons M, Coleman RE, Verma S. Aromatase inhibitors in the adjuvant setting: Bringing the gold to a standard. Cancer Treat Rev. 2004; 30: 325-32.
- Earnshaw WC, Martins LM, Kaufmann SH. Mammalian caspases: Structure, activation, substrates, and functions during apoptosis. Ann Rev Biochem. 1999; 68: 383-424.
- El Rashedy AA, Aboul-Enein HY. Benzimidazole derivatives as potential anti-cancer agents. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2013; 13: 399-407.
- Feldman BT, Feldman D. The development of androgenindependent prostate cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2001; 1: 34-35.
- Kaczor AA, Pitucha M, Karczmarzyk Z, Wysocki W, Rzymowska J, Matosiuk D. Structural and molecular docking studies of 4-benzyl-3-[(1-methylpyrrol- 2-yl)methyl]-4,5-dihydro-1H -1,2,4-triazol-5-one with anti-cancer activity. Med Chem. 2013; 9: 313-28.
- Kostova I. Synthetic and natural coumarins as cytotoxic agents. Curr Med Chem Anti-cancer Agents. 2005; 5: 29-46.
- Kumar D, Narayanam MK, Chang KH, Shah K. Synthesis of novel indolyl-1,2,4-triazoles as potent and selective anticancer agents. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2011; 77: 182-88.
- Naik RJ, Kukkarni MV, Palakshammurthy BS, Devarajegowda HC. Non-sulfur containing Z-1-chloro-3-coumarinyl 2-bromoethylenes from chlorosulfonation of 3-bromoacetyl

- coumarins. Indian J Chem B. 2013; 52: 1468-72.
- Patel RV, Kumari P, Chikhalia KH. New quinolinyl-1,3,4-oxadiazoles: Synthesis, *in vitro* antibacterial, antifungal and antituberculosis studies. Med Chem. 2013; 9: 596-607.
- Patel RV, Kumari P, Rajani DP, Chikhalia KH. Synthesis of coumarin-based 1,3,4-oxadiazol-2ylthio-N-phenyl/benzothiazolyl acetamides as antimicrobial and antituberculosis agents. Med Chem Res. 2013; 22: 195–210.
- Patel RV, Patel PK, Kumari P, Rajani DP, Chikhalia KH. Synthesis of benzimidazolyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2ylthio-N-phenyl (benzothiazolyl) acetamides as antibacterial, antifungal and antituberculosis agents. Eur J Med Chem. 2012; 53: 41-51
- Patel RP, Patel JK, Kumari P, Chikhalia KH. Combination of bioactive moieties with different heteroatom(s): Application of Suzuki cross coupling reaction. Heteroatom Chem. 2012; 23: 399-410.
- Pokhodylo N, Shyyka O, Matiychuk V. Synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole derivatives and evaluation of their anti-cancer activity. Sci Pharm. 2013; 81: 663-76.
- Puthiyapurayil P, Poojary B, Chikkanna C, Buridipad SK. Synthesis, spectral characterization and biological evaluation of a novel series of 6-arylsubstituted-3-[2-(4-substitutedphenyl)propan-2-yl]-7H-[1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4] thiadiazines. Eur J Med Chem. 2012; 57: 407-16.
- Saitoh M, Kunitomo J, Kimura E, Hayase Y, Kobayashi H, Uchiyama N, Kawamoto T, Tanaka T, Mol CD, Dougan DR, Textor GS, Snell GP, Itoh F. Design, synthesis and structure-activity relationships of 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives as novel inhibitors of glycogen synthase kinase-3β. Bioorg Med Chem. 2009; 17: 2017-29.
- Skehn R, Storeng A, Scudiero J, Monks D, McMohan D, Vistica TW, Jonathan H, Bokesch S, Kenney MR, Boyd J. New colorimetric cytotoxicity assay for anti-cancer-drug screening. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1990; 82: 1107.
- Solomon VR1, Lee H. Quinoline as a privileged scaffold in cancer drug discovery. Curr Med Chem. 2011; 18: 1488-508.
- Thiel O. Heterocyclic chemistry in drug discovery. Li JJ (ed). Wiley, 2013, p 52.
- Wakale VS, Pattan SR, Vishal T. Therapeutic importance of 1, 2, 4-triazole: A review. Int J Res Pharmaceut Biomed Sci. 2013; 4: 985-1001.
- Zhao PL1, Duan AN, Zou M, Yang HK, You WW, Wu SG. Synthesis and cytotoxicity of 3,4-disubstituted-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazoles and novel 5,6-dihydro -[1,2,4]triazolo[3,4-b][1,3,4] thiadiazole derivatives bearing 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2012; 22: 4471-74.

Author Info

Mao-Chuan Fan (Principal contact) e-mail: maochuan778@gmail.com

Scheme 1: Synthetic protocol for 1,2,4-triazolo [3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazine analogues (1-10)

Scheme 1: Synthetic protocol for 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazine analogues (1-10) (Cont.)

Reagents and conditions: (i) CS₂, KOH, MeOH, RT; (ii) N₂H₄.H₂O, H₂O, reflux; (iii) EtOH, reflux; (iv) piperidine, RT; (v) Br₂, CHCl₃, RT.